Electronic supplementary materials

For https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A2300081

N-doping offering higher photodegradation performance of dissolved black carbon for organic pollutants: experimental and theoretical studies

Yong GUO^{1,3}, Mengxia CHEN¹, Ting CHEN², Ying GUO², Zixuan XU¹, Guowei XU¹, Soukthakhane SINSONESACK⁴, Keophoungeun KANMANY⁴

¹Key Laboratory of Integrated Regulation and Resource Development on Shallow Lakes, Ministry of Education, College of Environment, Hohai University, Nanjing 210093, China
²Key Laboratory of Environmental Engineering of Jiangsu Province, Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Environmental Science, Nanjing 210036, China
³State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China
⁴Central Environmental Laboratory, Natural Resources and Environment Research Institute, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Vientiane 999012, Laos

This supporting information contains three tables and 15 figures.

S1 Quantum yield calculation

The QY of GQDs was determined by using quinine sulfate as the standard sample and was calculated according to Eq. (S1).

$$Q = Q_r \times \frac{I}{I_r} \times \frac{A_r}{A} \times \left(\frac{n}{n_r}\right)^2$$
(S1)

where Q is the quantum yield, I is the measured integrated emission intensity, n is the refractive index of the solvent (1.33 for water), and A is the optical density. The subscript "r" refers to the reference standard with known QY (Cai et al., 2014).

Reference

Cai F, Liu XD, Liu S, et al., 2014. A simple one-pot synthesis of highly fluorescent nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots for the detection of Cr(VI) in aqueous media. *RSC Advances*, 4:52016-52022.



Fig. S1 the optimized molecular structure of (a) DBC model; (b)NDBC model



Fig. S2 Top-view of the optimized MB model

Sample	Emission intensity (I)	Abs at 320 nm (A)	Refractive index of solvent (n)	QY (%)
Quantum sulfate	4763842.857	0.042	1.33	55.7
NDBC	779149.1639	0.042	1.33	9.11

Table S1QY of the NDBC



 Table S2
 Analysis of intermediate products of MB photodegradation by DBC

Product	Mass (m/z)	Molecular formula	Chemical structure
P1	246	$C_8H_{10}N_2O_5S$	H ₃ C H ₃ C CHO
P2	232	$C_8H_{12}N_2O_4S$	NH2 SO3H
Р3	202	C ₁₂ H ₉ NS	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N

 Table S3
 Analysis of intermediate products of MB photodegradation by NDBC



Fig. S3 Full XPS spectrum of NDBC



Fig. S4 N 1s XPS spectra and curve-fitting analysis of DBC



Fig. S5 EEM contours of NDBC200



Fig. S6 (a) Side view of the optimized DBC-MB model; (b) side view of the optimized NDBC-MB model.



Fig. S7 HPLC-MS chromatogram of MB transformation intermediates in DBC with MB solution at different reaction times



Fig. S8 HPLC-MS chromatogram of MB transformation intermediates in NDBC with MB solution at different reaction times



Fig. S9 Light spectrum of visible light source that used in the photodegradation experiments of TC and MB by NDBC under visible light irradiation. A 420 nm filter is used to remove the UV light that is emitted from the light source



Fig. S10 (a) UV-Vis spectra of MB before and after visible light irradiation, in which the concentration of MB is 20 mg/L; (b) UV-Vis spectra of TC before and after visible light irradiation, in which the concentration of TC is 20 mg/L



Fig. S11 (a) UV-Vis spectra of MB before and after UV light irradiation, in which the concentration of MB is 20 mg/L; (b) UV-Vis spectra of TC before and after UV light irradiation, in which the concentration of TC is 20 mg/L



Fig. S12 UV-Vis spectra of (a) DBC300, and (b) NDBC300 before/after light irradiation 10 h



Fig. S13 EPR spectra of (a) DMPO-¹O₂; (b) DMPO- O₂; (c) TEMPO; (d) DMPO- OH



Fig. S14 Transient photocurrent response of NDBC and DBC composites





Fig. S15 HPLC-MS chromatogram of TC transformation intermediates in TC solution at different reaction time