

Electronic supplementary materials

For <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.A2300184>

Finite-time path following control of a sailboat with actuator failure and unknown sideslip angle

Yujin WU¹, Kangjian SHAO¹, Ning WANG², Zhongchao DENG¹

¹Science and Technology on Underwater Vehicle Laboratory, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China

²School of Marine Engineering, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian 116026, China

Lemma S1: (Yu et al., 2018) For system

$$\dot{x} = f(x). \quad (\text{S1})$$

Suppose there exists a continuously differentiable function $V(x)$, which satisfies

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq -a_3 V(x) - b_1 V^\rho(x) + c_1, \quad (\text{S2})$$

where $a_3 > 0$, $b_1 > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$, $0 < c_1 < \infty$.

Then the trajectory of system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ can achieve finite time stability, and the residual set of the solution of system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow T_f} V(x) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1}{(1-\theta_0)a_3}, \left[\frac{c_1}{(1-\theta_0)b_1} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\} \right\}, \quad (\text{S3})$$

where $0 < \theta_0 < 1$. In addition, the finite setting time T_f satisfies

$$T_f = \max \left\{ t_0 + \frac{1}{a_3 \theta_0 (1-l)} \ln \frac{\theta_0 a_3 V^{1-\rho}(t_0) + b_1}{b_1}, \right. \\ \left. t_0 + \frac{1}{a_3 (1-l)} \ln \frac{a_3 V^{1-\rho}(t_0) + \theta_0 b_1}{\theta_0 b_1} \right\}. \quad (\text{S4})$$

Lemma S2: (Shtessel et al., 2007) The following system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\sigma}_0 &= -\lambda_0 L^{n+1} |\sigma_0|^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \text{sign}(\sigma_0) + \sigma_1, \\
\dot{\sigma}_1 &= -\lambda_1 L^n |\sigma_1 - \dot{\sigma}_0|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \text{sign}(\sigma_1 - \dot{\sigma}_0) + \sigma_2, \\
&\vdots \\
\dot{\sigma}_{n-1} &= -\lambda_{n-1} L^2 |\sigma_{n-1} - \dot{\sigma}_{n-2}|^{1/2} \text{sign}(\sigma_{n-1} - \dot{\sigma}_{n-2}) + \sigma_n, \\
\dot{\sigma}_n &\in -\lambda_n L \text{sign}(\sigma_{n-1} - \dot{\sigma}_{n-2}) + [-L, L]
\end{aligned} \tag{S5}$$

is finite-time stable, where $L > 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma S3: (Basin et al., 2017) The following system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\eta}_1 &= -\lambda_1 |\eta_1 - g|^{\alpha_1} \text{sign}(\eta_1 - g) + \eta_2, \\
\dot{\eta}_i &= -\lambda_i |\eta_i - g|^{\alpha_i} \text{sign}(\eta_i - g) + \eta_{i+1}, \\
\dot{\eta}_n &= -\lambda_n |\eta_n - g|^{\alpha_n} \text{sign}(\eta_n - g),
\end{aligned} \tag{S6}$$

where λ_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) are positive constants and $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$, satisfying $\alpha_i = i\alpha - (i-1)$ and $\alpha_1 \in (1-\sigma, 1)$ for a sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$. Gains λ_i are assigned such that the matrix A is Hurwitz, which is the dynamics matrix of the observer estimation error system obtained from Eq. (65), upon setting $\alpha_i = 1$. The convergence time can be estimated as $T \leq V^\rho [\xi(e(t_0))]/(\gamma\rho)$, where $V(\xi) = \xi^T(e)P\xi(e)$, $\xi = [e_1^{\alpha_1}, e_2^{\alpha_2}, \dots, e_n^{\alpha_n}]^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $e(t) = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n]^T \in \mathbf{R}^T$, $e_i = \eta_i - g^{i-1}$, $\rho = 1 - \alpha$, $\gamma = \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{Q})/\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{P})$, $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix, satisfying $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{Q}$, $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{P}) > 0$.

References

- Basin M, Yu P, Shtessel Y, 2017. Finite- and fixed-time differentiators utilising HOSM techniques. *IET Control Theory & Applications*, 11(8):1144-1152.
<https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta.2016.1256>
- Shtessel YB, Shkolnikov IA, Levant A, 2007. Smooth second-order sliding modes: missile guidance application. *Automatica*, 43(8):1470-1476.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automat.2007.01.008>
- Yu JP, Shi P, Zhao L, 2018. Finite-time command filtered backstepping control for a class of nonlinear systems. *Automatica*, 92:173-180.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automat.2018.03.033>